

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Mr

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/661,816	09/14/2000	John Robert Patterson	99-054	1262
7:	590 02/13/2004		EXAM	INER
Jeffrey H Rosedale			HARLAN, ROBERT D	
Rohm and Haas Patent Departm			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Independence Mall West			1713	
Philadelphia, F	PA 19106-2399		DATÉ MA ILED. 02/12/200	

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Annales & Consideration	09/661,816	PATTERSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
and the second s	Robert D. Harlan	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MO a cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	h.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	lovember 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9,21 and 22 is/are 5) Claim(s) 10-20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	withdrawn from consider	ation	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			:
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have bee ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	e <u>n la proposición de la companya de</u>		

Application/Control Number: 09/661,816

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Remark and Petition for Time Extension filed by Applicants on 11/07/03 have been entered.

Response to Remark

- 2. Applicant's Remark filed on 11/07/03 have been fully considered and is found persuasive.
- 3. The rejection of claims 10-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cope is withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions

4. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 1020 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the
ground(s) that there is a common thread running through each on
the groups placed under restriction and that such restriction
would result in a duplication of effort. This is not found
persuasive because the product as claimed can be made by another
and materially different process and subject matter covered by
Group I and III is an intermediate product that can be sued as a
binder or adhesive.

Art Unit: 1713

5. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

6. This application contains claims 1-9 and 21-22 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 9. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Allowable Subject Matter/Reasons for Allowance

- 7. Claims 10-20 are allowed.
- 1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The closest prior art located or identified by the Examiner is Cope. Cope teaches a process for preparing an extrudable composite comprising a PVC polymer resin, cellulose, a stabilizer, a lubricant, wood flour and process aids. See Cope, page 2, line 19 through page 5, line 51. The present invention differs from Cope in that the Example found in Cope do not teach the high amounts (24 to 65 wt. %) of cellulosic material (wood filler). Cope teaches in the full disclosure volume ration of wood filler:resin of 15:100 to 140:100. See Cope page 2, line 54. The Examiner concurs with the Applicants

Application/Control Number: 09/661,816

Art Unit: 1713

that one of ordinary skill would not be motivated to modify Cope by adding higher amounts of wood filler because at the time of the present invention higher amounts of wood filler was detrimental to the base polymer.

- 8. As of the date of this Notice of Allowability, the Examiner has not located or identified any reference that can be used singularly or in combination with another reference including Cope to render the present invention anticipated or obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 9. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM - 8 PM.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/661,816

Art Unit: 1713

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-1102 for regular communications and (571) 273-1102 for After Final communications.

12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh February 5, 2004